

e-CONFIGURATION ANALYSIS CHECK-LIST FOR OBSERVED CONFIGURATIONS OF UNITS (observation value %); expecting value; chi-square; STANDARD α - ERROR PROBABILITY ~SPLIT-HALF VALIDITY
 elaborierte Konfigurations-Frequenz-Analyse Strichliste der Anzahl beobachteter Konfigurationen (Beobachtungswert %); Erwartungswert; Chi-Quadrat; Standard-Fehlerwahrscheinlichkeit ~ Halbierungscheck ob gültig
 analyse fréquentielle des configurations élaborée no. aux observations (o) en pourcent % ; expectation e ; chi carré ; (degr. of freedom; Freiheitsgrade ; df ~ 4 -1 ; 2-1)
 Distribution gleich/equal/égale

| nr. | F dimensions of 4 configurations | | | | Σ (o %) | e% = 6,25% | $\chi^2 = \Sigma (o-6,25)^2 : 6,25$ | STANDARD α - ERROR PROBABILITY ~SPLIT-HALF VALIDITY | | | | $\alpha; 1^{st/2} \sim 2^{nd/2}$ | |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|------|-------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | patterns of classified categories (Gf) | (Au) | (Aw) | (Amb) | | | | stripe for each unit according to observed categories (no. RUN; RUN %) | (4-configurations) (df 3); | (2-configurations); (df3); | (df1); | | (df1) |
| 01. | + | + | + | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | ▲ | |
| 02. | + | + | + | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 03. | + | + | - | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 04. | + | + | - | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 05. | + | - | + | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 06. | + | - | + | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 07. | + | - | - | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 08. | + | - | - | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 09. | - | + | + | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 10. | - | + | + | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 11. | - | + | - | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 12. | - | + | - | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 13. | - | - | + | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 14. | - | - | + | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 15. | - | - | - | + | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| 16. | - | - | - | - | | | | *7,81 | **13,3 | ~ | ~ | | |
| SPLIT-HALF CHECK; 2x Halbierungs-Iteration (BIP): | | | | | percentage configuration patterns 1st & 2nd half | Σ (o%) | e% = 25% | (o-25) ² : 25 | *5%; 3 df | **1%; 3 df | *5%; 1df | **1%; 1df | 1. Hälfte ~ 2. Hälf. |
| | | | | | première moitié (1e m.) | | | | | | | | 1e m. ~ 2e m. |
| SHC 01. | + | + | | | | | | ● | ~ | ~ | *3,84 | **6,64 | |
| SHC 02. | + | - | | | | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | *3,84 | **6,64 | |
| SHC 03. | - | + | | | | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | *3,84 | **6,64 | |
| SHC 04. | - | - | ● | | | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | *3,84 | **6,64 | ● |

Attachment BIM X to: window "La transcendance, qui n'est pas q'une", "Neues vom Bibliothekar", new to « psycholing. Apperc. test

Appendix: algorithm to:
Elaborated configuration-
frequency-analysis, e-KFA

Algorithm after a hectographed
contribution in a seminary lesson
on social cognitions and
behaviour at psychological
institute, university of the Saar,
Saarbrücken, summer-semester,
1975

by Kurt-Wilhelm Laufs, ©,
updated as English version
2014-11-02, 2015-02-26

At a first glance, e-KFA could
remind Cochran's Q-sort, yet
is not.
KFA had been formulated by
Krauth & Lienert about 1971

to typologize and to analyse
by chi-square and binominal
distribution.

Critics on KFA
(Konfiguration – Frequenz -
Analyse) had followed
lexically (Clauss, G. & al.,
1976: Wörterbuch der
Psychologie. VEB Verlag
Enzyklopädie, Leipzig. Pahl-
Rugenstein, Köln, 1976), and
described the problem to
smaller or larger number of
checked persons or items than
about $N \sim 40$.

This numerical methodical
KFA inherent problem really
can be avoided, if instead of
absolute numeri one
calculated in percentages, so
one could also analyse rather
appropriately, both, smaller

samples than $N \sim 40$, or larger
samples than $N \sim 40$.

Author's KFA elaboration
shows examples, how to
apply e-KFA in psychology,
and psychological field
research, and also as a
practitioner's method, without
any electrical computer, just
by hand calculations to
combinations of hypotheses
in any social and
psychological field.

Do it yourself!

1st you define your most
possible to observe terms,
categories, or dimensions,
according to valid theories
&/or objective items.

2nd you take the amount
(number) of categories to
form plus-minus (yes/no

answers or signatures as plus/minus) combinatoric configuration-matrices. A two configuration-matrix (KF) makes four possible configurative combinations: (++; +--; -+; --), a three KF shows eight configurative combinations (+++; ++-; +-+; +--; -++; -+-; --+; ---) etc. Above algorithm sheed shows four configurations with sixteen possible of “yes” &/or “no” configurations of, combinatorically, etc. Why now KFA elaborated, behalf to calculate in percentages? When 4-configurations were by split half (bi-partation) analysed after chi-square, only significant values in row after

split half iteration were valid, thus a four-configuration must be equally significant at least after chi-square BIP controll in it's both parts divided in two configurations. The lowest significance in row determines here the significance of all a four-configuration row. Percentage calculation as appropriate to social and psychological data (always in mind that “nasty” scaling problem and of objectivity), claims percentages for numbers of observed data and to expecting values of a distribution as inference model. When social data or psychological data in practice

or social fields occur, that phantasm of normal or binominal distributions can even more appropriate and more rapidly be calculated by inference of equal distribution. Thus: 100% of postulated expecting inference (e) be to two-configurational percentage number observed (o) data, as 100% by 4 (number of possible combinations) = 25% expectation value (e); for 3-configuration's observed percentages (o) and it's possible combinations 100% by 8 = 12,5% (e); four configuration's e = 6,25 %; (100% : 16). When one will look for α errors of significancy in

one's statistical tables on chi square, degrees of freedom (df; FG) depend here on number of configurations: two-configurations make $2 - 1 = 1$ df; three-config. show $3 - 1 = 2$ df; and four-configurative calculations make subtract one from four and show three degrees of freedom at it's table value for significance.

This appropriate and rather quick method to calculate shows very satisfying approximations to much more complicated factor analyses and also can be applied to control rapidly factor analyses by hand calculation without electronic computers, and also efficiently can be applied in

social fields, on park benches, and in practice, to bundle data and test it's significance, without scaling and interpretation problems those problems typical to factor analysis of communality and rotation. Nevertheless one could bundle e-KFA results again by a factor analysis.

To rapid e-KFA percentages can be done inter-correlations to test reliability to it's percentage results in rows (types/factors) and columns (factorial categories, items, dimensions), and an arithmetical (or geometrical by f. exampl. Mosier nomogram, 1942, in: Lienert, G.A., 1970: Testtheorie. Beltz, Weinheim) mean coefficient

can describe consistency (as well as a communality) coefficient.

Literature: see above text, within and at author's WEB-site.

Terms:

Psychology, psycho-linguistics, mathematical psychology, structuralisme, algorithm to elaborated configuration frequency analysis (e-KFA), percentage chi-square, equal distribution, benefit by method: smaller and larger samples than $N = 40$ to calculate, e-KFA is an approximation to factor analysis; e-KFA "types" (factors) can be further condensed by factor analysis, when taking percentage types as "variables" ; also to evaluate Rorschach (Ro) systematics psycho-linguistically.



Author and Copyright, © 1975 ff: Kurt-Wilhelm Laufs, D.P. (Diplom-Psychologe, phil. & min. med. fac.), ev. KiR i.R., Zum Resthof 2, D-23996 Bobitz, 2014-11-02, 2014-11-03, 2014-11-05, 2014-11-27, 2014-11-28, update 2015-02-26.©

No e-mails, rather picture postcards, please!