

Magma-wave-interference-hypothesis and impulse-theories. How to ameliorate earthquake prediction?

by Kurt-W. Laufs, ©, 2011-05-05, rev. 2012-12-07

Naught hypothesis: all nonsense.

Alternative hypotheses:

1. Following a former idea the author had posed “underneath” earth shelf (-ves) a kind of loxodromic fluid counter rotation to earth rotation of magma, leading to magma waves after impulses on magma, e.g. about regions of heavy rainfalls, or interactive mass attractions earth moon/cometes etc., leading to *magma-wave interferences* about earth- (sea-) quake regions or vulcany eruptions. Skinner’s black-box s-r model in psychology)

2.1. Impulse theory after Heisenberg tells, impulse (i) to be like flow of effect (Δ) or work quantum (w) at location or region, locus agens (la); (after: $\Delta w \sim i \text{ la} \sim F \Delta t$). This “Unschärfe-Theorie” (out of focus, comme-flou) in mind, there were no

deterministic localizations of effects possible in micro and smaller dimension in atomistic quanta theory. Impulse in mechanics and dynamics also as pressure per surface, at area in time ($\Delta i \sim p/a/t$).

2.2. To possibly look after for an analogy one could find impulse and interference theories in psycho-physiology, electric and electronic theories and radio-transmission theories.

Could plot-clusters about radio-impulse theory describe about their interfering clusters similarities to earthquake eruptions and before a strengthening “spectrum” of preliminary clusters to compare to hypothetically assumed earth quake evoking interfering magma-waves?

3. If 2.2 did work, how to practically use radio impulse theory for equipments along earthquake threatened coasts? Would there help sensoric measuring in small tube-like connections or sensor measuring in cables on sea-ground to fasten analyzes of impulse clusters before earthquakes?

